Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/681,847	WANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Leo B. Tentoni	1732
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Advisory Action Mailed</u>		
(1) <u>Leo B. Tentoni</u> .	(3)	
(2) Alan D. Kamrath Reg. No. 28,227.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 18 December 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NONE		
Claims discussed: NONE		
Prior art documents discussed: NONE		
Part II.	,	
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: No further papers were submitted after the filing of the amendment-after-final (with extension of time). Therefore, this application is abandoned		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
Lea B Jita		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/	Applicant's Representative Signature	gnature – if appropriate)